

Therefore we see little hope that these swindling undertakings will be arrested by any outbreak of popular indignation.

And that they should *not* *deny* *as grace* *to* suddenly awakened perception of our people the truth, that "riches are not made in a day" or in any practical belief in the good old idea about the "dignity of labor" is equally hopeless. The dignity of labor is something the world never did believe in, and never will. Money in the mass will always *shirk* labor when they can either by slaveholding, office-holding—which is on another name for slaveholding—beggaring, borrowing, stealing, murdering; and so on, and there is no use in thinking to cure it. And until it is cured, the game of lotteries will endure, and people will buy the tickets and be duped until their money is gone, which it may be added, will go a long time before this stamping. No, the only way to cure this evil is, to "crack it out" by stringent, persecuting laws; to hunt it down in the courts, to drive the men that deal in it and profit by it, from decent society, and to brand them in the public prints and in public places as dishonest swindlers, far more worthy of the State's liberator than the majority of convicted thieves.

The Hon. JOHN H. KETCHAM was yesterday nominated for reelection to Congress in the Dutchess district with enthusiastic unanimity. He will be elected.

Thus far, the Republican-Unionists of our State have made nominations for Congress as follows:

X. Dutchess, Columbia—JOSEPH H. KETCHUM.  
XVII. St. Lawrence, Franklin—CALVIN T. HOWLAND.  
XX. Herkimer, Lewis, Jefferson—ANDREW H. LAYMAN.  
XXI. Oneida—ROBERT CROSSLAND.  
XXII. Oswego, Madison—HARVEY CATHAMIAN.  
XXIV. Cayuga, Wayne—TERRANCE M. PARSONS.

XXVII. Chemung, Steuben, Schuyler—Hamilton Ward.  
XXVIII. Monroe, Orleans—Rowland Hart.  
XXXI. Chautauque, Cattaraugus—Hesset & Assoc.  
—Every one of these is a Member of the press.

House save Mr. Churchill; and he succeeds by rotation Mr. Holmes, whose course meets the hearty approval of his constituents. Several of them are among the ablest as all of them are among the firmest supporters of the President's ill-starred "policy." Most of the remaining majority Members will likewise renominated, unless they perceptibly decline, three or four are understood to do. New-York has eighteen opponents of the President's policy in the present House; she will have more than twenty the next.

**A WAR DEMOCRAT.**

At a municipal dinner given at the St. Nicholas on the 22d of February, 1863, and presided over by Mayor Opdyke, John T. Hoffman outraged the pr

prieties of the occasion by a covert but bitter attack on President Lincoln and his supporters in promoting the War for the Union. He insisted that the North was equally culpable with the South for exciting the War, that the whole army was devoted to Gen. McClellan, and continued:

"Although we are bound to pay obedience to the Government, we are not bound to obey the orders of the President."

ment, nothing forbids intelligent men to discuss the policy of the Government. The People will, at all hazards, discuss and they will insist that the War shall be so conducted as to make slaves free."

—John Van Buren, Samuel J. Tilden, Thomas

Fields, and other Democrats, spoke on the occasion but no one insulted the Mayor and other Republicans present by a Copperhead diatribe but John T. Hoeman, now the Johnson candidate for Governor of the State.

---

*The Evening Post* asked us a question with regard to the...

to the Hon. Thaddeus Stevens, which we answered frankly and fully as we could have done if giving testimony on oath before a judge and jury. We can not see or explain that answer how to make

clearer, yet *The Post* keeping every part of that brief answer from its readers, says:

"THE TRIBUNE still refuses to answer our questions. \* So far as we can judge, *The Tribune* is not opposed to Mr. Brewster's influence in the House, it does desire to see him again as leader and master of the Republican party in the House. It does not condemn the policy of confiscation, and degradation of the States, which he has recently announced; nor does it warn the Republican party against this policy as dangerous."

—The Post says this, well knowing it to be false that *Times* Tribune "does not condemn the policy

that the *FRANKS* does not concern the policy of "confiscation," and knowing also that the only "degradation of the State" we favor is the legal equality of their loyal with their disloyal citizens. Had *The Post* allowed its readers to see our answer to this question, they would have detected its fraud. In our talk of our "evasions" would have been rendered ridiculous and detestable by simply printing our real

to its question: so it suppresses this, and tells its readers that it is what it is not. And it takes care neither to print nor to answer our inquiry whether

desires the reelection of Gov. Fenton. Such  
The Evening Post. Is it strange that its subscribers  
are dropping it?                     

The New-Orleans Tribune thus speaks of the condemp-  
tion of loyalists in the South:

"When a teacher goes to some village and opens a school for

liberty and human rights in our country parishes, he is at once threatened with assassination. There is no political liberty in the South, outside of a few cities, and even in these cities, as for the treatment of our flag the incendiaries of Mob-

**SOUTHERN LOYALISTS IN OUR STATE.**  
Arrangements are being made by the State Central Committee to have one-third of the party of Southern Loyalists visit points on the New-York and Erie Roads at the interior of the State. Among others will be Gen.

E. J. DAVIS (Union army) of Texas, Col. WESTON FLIN of Missouri, Mr. VAN VLIET of Texas, Judge E. HIRSTMAN of Louisiana, the Hon. LORENZO SHERWOOD of Texas

Col. O. B. HART of Florida, Col. F. T. LINDERBERGER of Missouri, and other members of the delegation.

Word will be sent by telegraph to the various points to-day.

Committees along the route, who desire speakers at other points than those that may be indicated by the State Central Committee, will please telegraph to Columbia, Mo., who will be with this portion of the delegation.

**A CARD.**

To the Editor of The N. Y. Tribune.

SIR: I am a candidate for Congress in Editor Raymond's district. I am nominated, and, when I do, I shall demand him to meet me on the stump, as Douglas and Lincoln.

STEPHEN H. BRANCH.

[Should these distinguished personages come in collision on the stump, the listening thousands will of course be delighted and edified. We apprehend, however, that majority of the voters of the Fifth District will choose

some third person as their next Representative in Congress.—*Ed. Trib.*)

**A QUESTION FOR THE POLICE AUTHORITIES.**

To the Editor of The N. Y. Tribune.

SIR: Last evening I attended the gathering of loyalists convened at Union square, and was listening to the speech of Mr. Hunnicutt of Virginia when three cheers was proposed and given by a portion of the assembly for Andrew Johnson. I groaned in response to the cheers, and when they were repeated groaned again. As soon as I had an

ished a policeman tapped me on the arm and told me if I could not behave myself he would thrust me out. So I took the hint and adjourned inside the Institute. Now I am no rowdy, and would like to know (it being a Republic) whether it is right that a man should be thrust out of a meeting for not behaving himself.

Sept. 12, 1866.

**THE UNION LEAGUE CLUB.**—At the regular monthly meeting, held last evening, at the Union League Club, a reception was given to those of the Southern loyalists who remained in the city. The following gentlemen were present:

Judge Heland, Louisiana; Van Fleet, Texas; Col. Flint;  
Mr. Leidergerben, Missouri; Mr. Strong, Missouri; Judge  
Barnett, Tennessee, and several members of the League. The  
proceedings were not made public.